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Abstract—The effects of additive noise in the given phase on signal reconstruction from the Fourier transform phase are experimentally studied. Specifically, the effects on the sequence reconstruction of different methods of sampling the degraded phase of the number of nonzero points in the sequence, and of the noise level, are examined. A sampling method that significantly reduces the error in the reconstructed sequence is obtained, and the error is found to increase as the number of nonzero points in the sequence increases and as the noise level increases. In addition, an averaging technique is developed which reduces the effects of noise when the continuous phase function is known. Finally, as an illustration of how the results in this paper may be applied in practice, Fourier transform signal coding is considered. Coding only the Fourier transform phase and reconstructing the signal from the coded phase is found to be considerably less efficient (i.e., a higher bit rate is required for the same mean-square error) than reconstructing from both the coded phase and magnitude.

I. INTRODUCTION

RECONSTRUCTION of a discrete time signal or sequence from its Fourier transform phase has a variety of potential applications. For example, in phase-only holograms known as “kinofoms” [1], the Fourier transform magnitude information is lost while the phase is retained. If the magnitude information and, thus, the signal could be recovered from the phase information alone, the quality of images reconstructed from kinofoms could be significantly improved.

Although a sequence is not, in general, recoverable from the phase information alone, under certain conditions which are satisfied in many practical cases of interest, a sequence can be reconstructed from the phase information alone. Specifically, Hayes, Lim, and Oppenheim [2] recently have shown that a finite duration sequence, provided its z-transform has no zeroes in reciprocal pairs or on the unit circle, is uniquely specified to within a scale factor by its Fourier transform phase.

Even though the results by Hayes, Lim, and Oppenheim [2] have important theoretical significance, they are limited in practice since they are based on the assumption that the exact phase is available. In many potential application problems, the available phase may have been degraded by measurement noise, quantization noise, etc. To understand the effects of phase degradation on the reconstructed sequence, a series of experiments has been performed. In this paper, we present the experimental results and propose a technique that reduces the phase degradation effects when the continuous phase function is available.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section II, important theoretical results relevant to this paper are summarized. A discussion of the phase-only signal reconstruction algorithm used in the experiments is also given. In Section III, the series of experiments is discussed and the results are presented. In Section IV, we illustrate how the results in Section III may be applied in practice. In Section V, a technique to
reduce the effects of phase degradation when the continuous phase function is available is discussed. Finally, a summary of the major results of this paper is presented in Section VI.

II. SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS THEORETICAL RESULTS

Let \( x(n) \) and \( y(n) \) be two finite length sequences whose \( z \)-transforms have no zeros in reciprocal pairs or on the unit circle. Let \( \theta_x(\omega) \) and \( \theta_y(\omega) \) denote the Fourier transform phases of \( x(n) \) and \( y(n) \), respectively. It can be shown [2] that if \( \theta_x(\omega) = \theta_y(\omega) \) for all \( \omega \), then \( x(n) = y(n) \) for some positive constant \( C \). Moreover, if \( \tan \theta_x(\omega) = \tan \theta_y(\omega) \) for all \( \omega \), then \( x(n) = y(n) \) for some real constant \( C \).

The above result can be extended to the case when the phase function is known at a finite set of frequencies. Specifically, if \( x(n) \) and \( y(n) \) satisfy the conditions stated above and are zero outside the interval \( 0 < n < N - 1 \), it can be shown [2] that if \( \theta_x(\omega) = \theta_y(\omega) \) at \( (N - 1) \) distinct frequencies between zero and \( \pi \), then \( x(n) = y(n) \) for some positive constant \( C \). In addition, if \( \tan \theta_x(\omega) = \tan \theta_y(\omega) \) for all \( \omega \), then \( x(n) = y(n) \) for some real constant \( C \).

To reconstruct the sequence that satisfies the above conditions from its Fourier transform phase or phase samples, two numerical algorithms have been developed. The first is an iterative algorithm which improves the estimate in each iteration. The second is a noniterative algorithm which reconstructs the sequence by solving a set of linear equations. In this paper, the noniterative algorithm has been used exclusively since it leads to the desired solution without any iterations and is very flexible in choosing the frequencies at which the phase function is sampled.

The noniterative algorithm can be derived [2] from the definition of the Fourier transform phase. Specifically, by expressing \( \tan \theta_2(\omega) \) as the imaginary part of the Fourier transform divided by the real part and by some algebraic manipulations, it can be shown [2] that

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} x(n) \sin [\theta_x(\omega) + n \omega] = -x(0) \sin \theta_x(\omega). \tag{1}
\]

By sampling \( \theta_x(\omega) \) at \( (N - 1) \) frequencies between zero and \( \pi \), \ref{1} can be expressed in matrix form as

\[
Sx = -x(0)b \tag{2}
\]

where \( x \) is a column vector containing the values of \( x(n) \) for \( 1 \leq n \leq N - 1 \) and \( x(0) \) is the unknown scaling factor. The matrix \( S \) in \ref{2} can be shown to have an inverse and the vector \( x \) can be determined from

\[
x = -x(0)S^{-1}b. \tag{3}
\]

For a given \( x(0) \), the vector \( x \) obtained by \ref{3} is the unique desired solution.

From \ref{3}, the major computation involved in the noniterative algorithm is the inversion of an \((N - 1) \times (N - 1)\) matrix which, as \( N \) gets large, becomes more difficult and may give rise to severe roundoff errors resulting in numerical instability. This potential problem has been avoided by limiting the experiments to relatively small values of \( N \) and by detecting [3] the occurrence of numerical instability in each reconstructed sequence.

The above results have also been extended [4] to two-dimensional signals.

III. EXPERIMENTS

When the Fourier transform phase is degraded by additive noise, \ref{1} can be written as

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \hat{x}(n) \sin [\theta_x(\omega) + \omega + n \cdot \omega] = -x(0) \sin [\theta_x(\omega) + \omega] \tag{4}
\]

where \( \omega \) represents the additive noise in the phase and \( \hat{x}(\omega) \) is the sequence reconstructed from the degraded phase. For nonzero additive noise \( \omega(\omega) \), \( \hat{x}(\omega) \) in \ref{4} is different from \( x(\omega) \), and the objective of this paper is to study the effect of \( \omega(\omega) \) on the error between \( x(\omega) \) and \( \hat{x}(\omega) \). Initially, we considered doing a theoretical study of the error between \( x(\omega) \) and \( \hat{x}(\omega) \). Since the noise \( \omega(\omega) \) is in the argument of the sine function and, thus, the coefficients in the matrices \( S \) and \( b \) in \ref{3} are degraded in a highly nonlinear manner, a simple yet meaningful theoretical analysis was difficult. As a result, we have made an empirical study of the effect of additive noise in phase on signal reconstruction by performing a series of experiments. In this section, we discuss these experiments and their results.

The reconstruction process used in the experiments is schematically illustrated in Fig. 1. In this figure, \( x(n) \) denotes an \( N \) point sequence which satisfies the conditions in Section II. Each point in \( x(n) \) is statistically independent of all other points, and is obtained from a zero-mean Gaussian density function. Thus, \( x(n) \) is a segment of a sample of a zero-mean white Gaussian random process. The sequence \( x(n) \) is then Fourier transformed to evaluate its phase function \( \theta_x(\omega) \). The function \( \theta_x(\omega) \) is then sampled at \( N - 1 \) distinct frequencies between zero and \( \pi \). Digitally generated white noise is then added directly to the degraded phase to obtain the degraded phase. Each noise sample is statistically independent of all other noise samples, and is obtained from a uniform probability density function given by

\[
P_w(w_0) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2w_t} & -w_t < w_0 < w_t \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \tag{5}
\]

where \( w_t \) denotes the noise level. The noise levels of interest lie in the range \( \pi \times 10^{-5} \leq w_t \leq \pi \times 10^{-1} \). Since, for most sequences, noise below \( \pi \times 10^{-2} \) had negligible effects upon the reconstructed sequence, whereas noise above \( \pi \times 10^{-1} \) had severe effects. The degraded phase is then used to reconstruct the sequence \( \hat{x}(n) \) in \ref{4} using the noniterative reconstruction algorithm discussed in Section II and \( \hat{x}(n) \) is compared to \( x(n) \) to study the reconstruction error. To quantify the reconstruction error, the normalized mean-square error (NMSE) is computed from

\[
\text{NMSE} = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x(n) - \hat{x}(n))^2}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^2(n)}. \tag{6}
\]
Since a sequence can be reconstructed only within a scaling factor from its Fourier transform phase, the constant "k" in (6) is arbitrary, and we have chosen "k" to minimize the NMSE in the equation.

To study the effects of a particular experimental parameter on the reconstruction error, the reconstruction of Fig. 1 is implemented for 1000 sequences. From the resulting reconstructed sequences, the mean of the NMSE is computed. The mean of the log of the NMSE (LOGNMSE) is also computed to detect those cases in which the average NMSE computed is primarily due to very large errors in a small fraction of the 1000 sequences.

The effects of phase degradation are examined first as a function of the sampling method. If the exact phase is available, the NMSE is zero independent of the frequencies at which the $N-1$ phase samples are obtained. When the phase is degraded, however, the NMSE depends on the specific sampling method. To determine a sampling method that leads to a small average NMSE, a number of different sampling strategies have been considered. These include both uniform and nonuniform spacing between consecutive frequencies. Among these different methods, choosing $N-1$ frequencies ($\omega_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq N-1$) such that $\omega_1 = \pi/(N-1)$ and $\Delta \omega = \omega_i - \omega_{i-1} = \pi/(N-1)$ for $2 \leq i \leq N-1$ has been observed to lead to the smallest average NMSE. This choice of frequencies minimizes the maximum separation between two consecutive frequencies under the interpretation that $\omega = 0$ and $\omega = \pi$ are connected. In addition, the frequencies chosen are symmetric with respect to $\omega = \pi/2$. Examples of this choice of $N-1$ frequencies are shown in Fig. 2 for $N = 5$ and 8.

With the $(N-1)$ samples of $\theta_0(\omega)$ obtained at frequencies $\omega_i$ with $\omega_1 = \pi/(N-1)$ and $\Delta \omega = \pi/(N-1)$, the effects of the sequence length $N$ and noise level $\omega_l$ on the reconstructed sequence were considered. The values of $N$ and $\omega_l$ used are $N = 4, 8, 16, 32, 64$, and $\omega_l = \pi \times 10^{-1}, \pi \times 10^{-2}, \pi \times 10^{-3}, \pi \times 10^{-4}, \text{and} \pi \times 10^{-5}$. The average NMSE and LOGNMSE for these values of $N$ and $\omega_l$ are shown in Fig. 3. In Fig. 3, the noise levels $\omega_l$ and the average NMSE are plotted on a logarithmic scale, while the average LOGNMSE is plotted on a linear scale. The results in Fig. 3 show that the average NMSE and LOGNMSE increase as the noise level increases and the sequence length increases. The deviation from this conclusion at two points in Fig. 3(a) is due to the small fraction of the reconstructed sequences, for which the reconstruction error was large enough to have a significant effect on the average when the NMSE is linearly averaged. This is evidenced by the fact that the deviation disappears in Fig. 3(b) where the NMSE is logarithmically averaged. In this case, a small fraction of reconstructed sequences with large reconstruction errors will not have a significant effect on the average.
IV. APPLICATIONS

The results in Section III may be useful in some practical situations in which phase-only signal reconstruction is considered. In this section, we illustrate one such example.

In Fourier transform image coding, both the phase and magnitude are coded and an image is reconstructed from the coded phase and magnitude. For monochrome images, the magnitude and phase may be coded at bit rates of 1.0-1.5 bits/pixel with mean-square error distortion less than 0.5 percent [5]. Since an image can be reconstructed from its Fourier transform phase alone, we may consider coding only the phase and then using the phase-only signal reconstruction algorithm to reconstruct the signal from the coded phase. Assuming that the phase is quantized by a uniform quantizer, the bit rate required to achieve the quantization noise level \( w_l \) is given by

\[
B = \log_2 \left( \frac{\pi}{w_l} \right) \tag{7}
\]

where \( B \) represents the number of bits in each codeword.

From Fig. 3, to achieve the average NMSE of 1 percent for \( N = 64 \) (this corresponds to a subimage size of 8 \( \times \) 8 pixels), the noise level \( w_l \) should be less than \( \pi \times 10^{-3} \) and therefore, from (7), requires more than 10 bits/pixel. Even though the NMSE is not exactly the same as the mean-square error used in image coding literature, the quantization noise has different characteristics from the additive noise used in this paper, and the data that we used for analysis are not typical image data, the above results suggest that both a low distortion rate and a low bit rate cannot be achieved by attempting to code only the Fourier transform phase and then using the phase-only signal reconstruction algorithm to reconstruct the signal from the coded phase. Assuming that the phase is quantized by a uniform quantizer, the bit rate required to achieve the quantization noise level \( w_l \) is given by [3]

\[
B = \log_2 \left( \frac{\pi}{w_l} \right) \tag{7}
\]

where \( B \) represents the number of bits in each codeword.

From Fig. 3, to achieve the average NMSE of 1 percent for \( N = 64 \) (this corresponds to a subimage size of 8 \( \times \) 8 pixels), the noise level \( w_l \) should be less than \( \pi \times 10^{-3} \) and therefore, from (7), requires more than 10 bits/pixel. Even though the NMSE is not exactly the same as the mean-square error used in image coding literature, the quantization noise has different characteristics from the additive noise used in this paper, and the data that we used for analysis are not typical image data, the above results suggest that both a low distortion rate and a low bit rate cannot be achieved by attempting to code only the Fourier transform phase and then using the phase-only signal reconstruction algorithm to reconstruct the signal from the coded phase.

In addition to the Fourier transform image coding problem, the results in Section III may be useful to other applications, such as in speech enhancement, where one may consider first estimating the phase more accurately from the degraded speech, and then attempting to reconstruct the signal from the estimated phase information.

V. SIGNAL RECONSTRUCTION FROM MORE THAN \( N-1 \) PHASE SAMPLES

If more than \( N-1 \) phase samples are available for signal reconstruction, then the additional information may be used to reduce the signal reconstruction error. One approach we have considered to exploit the additional information is to average several reconstructed sequences obtained from different sets of \( N-1 \) phase samples. That is, if \( \hat{x}_1(n) \) is obtained from one set of \( N-1 \) phase samples and \( \hat{x}_2(n) \) is obtained from a different set of \( N-1 \) phase samples, then \( \hat{x}(n) = (\hat{x}_1(n) + \hat{x}_2(n))/2 \) may give a better estimate of \( x(n) \) than either \( \hat{x}_1(n) \) or \( \hat{x}_2(n) \).

To test if averaging the reconstructed sequences reduces the error, experiments were performed using the averaging process depicted in Fig. 4. In the figure, FT represents the Fourier transform operation, \( w(\omega) \) represents white noise generated from the uniform probability density of (5), and \( \hat{\theta}_x(\omega) \) represents the degraded phase function. The function \( \hat{\theta}_x(\omega) \) is sampled at \( M \) sets of \( N-1 \) frequencies with \( \Delta \omega = \pi/(N-1) \) and the \( j \)th frequency of the \( i \)th set is denoted by \( \hat{\theta}_x^{(i)}(\omega) \). A sequence is then reconstructed from the degraded phase function sampled at \( N-1 \) frequencies in each set, using the nonitera-
tive algorithm [the closed form algorithm (CFA)] discussed in Section II. The $M$ sequences reconstructed in this manner are averaged to form a new sequence $\hat{x}(n)$, which is then compared to the original sequence $x(n)$ to compute the NMSE.

As is shown in Fig. 5, the errors in the reconstructed sequences are smaller relative to the case when no averaging is performed. Furthermore, additional experiments showed that as the number of reconstructed sequences used in the averaging increases, the average NMSE decreases, but at a lower rate.

VI. Conclusion

In this paper, we have studied the effect of phase degradation on the signal reconstruction error, using the noniterative signal reconstruction algorithm. A number of different sampling methods have been considered and the sampling method that appears to minimize the average NMSE has been determined. Using this sampling method, the average NMSE and average LOGNMSE were computed as a function of the sequence length and the noise level.

The usefulness of phase-only reconstruction in Fourier transform image coding was, then, considered as an example that illustrates how the results of this paper may be used in practice. Our analysis suggests that reconstructing an image from the coded phase using the phase-only signal reconstruction algorithm is considerably less efficient in the bit rate than reconstructing the image from the coded phase and magnitude.

Finally, to reduce the effects of phase degradation, an averaging technique was developed which reconstructs the signal from more than $(N-1)$ phase samples. This technique can significantly reduce the error and may be used in those applications in which continuous phase is available.
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