# **Changes in Cortical Directional Connectivity during Difficult Speech Listening** in Younger and Older Adults

Behrad Soleimani<sup>1,2</sup>, I.M. Dushyanthi Karunathilake<sup>1,2</sup>, Proloy Das<sup>3</sup>, Stefanie E. Kuchinsky<sup>4</sup>, Behtash Babadi<sup>1,2</sup>, Jonathan Z. Simon<sup>1,2,5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, <sup>3</sup>Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care and Pain Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA, <sup>4</sup>Audiology and Speech Pathology Center, Bethesda, MD, USA, <sup>5</sup>Department of Biology, University of Maryland College Park, MD, USA

- becomes more challenging with age, even for healthy aging.





Neural sources activity

• Sparse state-space modeling



Empty room recording

 $\boldsymbol{y}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{155}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{x}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{84 imes 4}$ 155 MEG sensors 84 cortical areas  $\times$  4 eigenmodes

### • Considered regions of interests (ROIs) for the analysis are,

**Frontal:** parsopercularis, parsotriangularis, rostalmiddlefrontal, cuadalmiddlefrontal

**Temporal:** superiortemporal, middletemporal, transversetemporal

**Parietal:** inferiorparietal, posteriorcingulate

Full NLGC details and explanations are in Soleimani et al. (2022)

## MEG Experiment

### Participants

- 13 younger adults (5 males; age: 18-26 y)
- 9 older adults (3 males; age: 66-78 y)
- Normal Hearing (125-4000 Hz,  $\leq$  25 dB HL)

#### Task

- listening to 60 s-long speech segments from an audio book
- Single talker (background quiet) [Quiet]

 Mixed Speech (Background another talker) [0 dB SNR, -6 dB SNR] More details in Karunathilake et al. (2023) "Effects of Aging on Cortical Representations of Continuous Speech" J Neurophysiol 129, 1359–77.

The views expressed in this poster are those of the author and do not reflect the official policy of the Department of Army/Navy/Air Force, Department of Defense, or U.S. Government.

- Younger adults show temporal-to-frontal connectivity in speech-in-quiet, but the reverse under noisy conditions. In contrast, older adults show frontal-to-temporal connectivity regardless of condition
- Older adults show greater frontal-to-frontal connectivity and greater (bidirectional) frontal-parietal connectivity than younger adults for all conditions
- Older adults show a greater increase in theta power with increasing background noise than younger • Connectivity is unrelated to neural source power (see power and power difference gauges)
- Younger adults show enhanced temporal-to-parietal connectivity, when switching from speech in quiet to the two noise conditions, and also decreased parietal-to-temporal connectivity (older adults also show both these patterns but with a smaller effect size).

# Delta Band (0.1-4 Hz) Connectivity Analysis

Older adults show greater frontal-frontal connectivity than unger for speech-in-quiet

For both noise conditions, there is greater parietal-parietal connectivity, with large effect size, in older adults than younger

- In the worst condition (–6 dB SNR) older adults show increased parietal-to-frontal and decreased frontal-to-parietal connectivity than younger
- Younger adults show increased frontal-to-parietal connectivity with increasing background noise
- Frontal-frontal connectivity, as well as overall frontal and temporal connectivity, decreases in older adults with increasing noise level



 $\langle K \rangle = 2$  samples (@ 25 Hz)

• In the worst condition (–6 dB SNR) older adults show enhanced temporal-to-temporal connectivity.



Percentage of GC Links

# Nature of the Links Change with Condition



- for different listening conditions ture of the Links in Delta Band (0.1-4 Hz)
- Nature of links varies across age and condition only for theta band • Younger listeners did not show changes in the nature of their cortical links
- General decrease in suppressive connectivity is consistent with the age-related decrease in GABA-based inhibition
- Older adults exhibit dynamic tradeoff between excitatory and inhibitory connections when shifting from listening to speech in quiet to speech in noise

## Summary

# References

**NLGC Methods Paper:** B. Soleimani, P. Das, I.M. D. Karunathilake, S. E. Kuchinsky, J. Z. Simon, and B. Babadi, NLGC: Network Localized Granger Causality with Application to MEG Directional Functional Connectivity Analysis. NeuroImage, Vol. 260, 119496, 2022.

#### **NLGC Python Package:**

Soleimani B, Das P. Network Localized Granger Causality. (2022) GitHub Repository at https://github.com/BabadiLab/NLGC

Supported by NSF (OISE2020624, SMA1734892, and CCF1552946) and NIH (R01-DC019394, R01- 970 DC014085 P01-AG055365, and R21-AG068802).



• The  $(j \rightarrow i)$  link:  $\mathbf{x}_t^i = \hat{a}_1 \mathbf{x}_{t-1}^j + \hat{a}_2 \mathbf{x}_{t-2}^j$  + other terms • Cross-coupling link coefficient sign gives nature of link:  $\hat{a}_1 z^{-1} + \hat{a}_2 z^{-2}$ 

$$(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \hat{b}_1 z^{-1} + \hat{b}_2 z^{-2}}$$

• This categorization can be thought of as the mesoscale equivalent of excitation/inhibition at the neuronal level





The significant differences are marked with their corresponding *p*-value (\*\*\*p < 0.001, \*\*p < 0.01, \*p < 0.05)



• Delta band connectivity pattern is quite different from theta band Age-related Top-Down and Bottom-Up Changes in the Theta Band • Age-Related Reversal in Frontal-Parietal Connectivity in the Delta band • Nature of theta band connectivity depends on condition in older adults



Behrad Soleimani 1993-2023 We miss him!!